

**American Educational Research Association
2009 Annual Meeting Call for Proposals**

*San Diego, California
April 13–17, 2009*

*Lorraine McDonnell, President
Michael Feuer, Chair, 2009 Program Committee*

I. Theme: “Disciplined Inquiry: Education Research in the Circle of Knowledge”

At a time when knowledge creation and use require spanning boundaries between academic disciplines, education researchers can take pride in their long tradition of multidisciplinary work. AERA’s 2009 Annual Meeting will celebrate this tradition and look ahead to assess new ways that education research and disciplinary inquiry might be more effectively integrated.

Relations between education research and disciplinary inquiry have been reciprocal and multifaceted. The disciplines have contributed a diverse array of theoretical insights and research methods to the study of education and its societal roles. Education research, in turn, has provided a major venue for testing theory and developing analytical methods, and its studies have helped refine and expand those theories in significant ways. More than multidisciplinary endeavors in many other fields, education research has been inclusive in its application of disciplinary perspectives and in its respect for quantitative and qualitative methods. The result has been a uniquely rich capacity for education research to draw on a broad range of humanistic and scientific disciplines and to contribute widely to the improvement of education policy and practice.

The 2009 Annual Meeting will be an opportunity for renewed discussion and expansion of the role of education research as a hub of interdisciplinary scholarship. Special attention will be paid to proposals for papers and sessions that demonstrate the value of interdisciplinary research, the significance of multiple methodological perspectives, and interactions between education and its sister disciplines in the sciences and humanities.

II. What’s New?

Submission of final papers. To ensure the overall quality of session presentations and discussion, it is imperative that copies of accepted papers be made available to session chairs and discussants in advance of the Annual Meeting. Authors of accepted papers (for both paper sessions and symposium sessions) must upload a copy of their final paper to the All Academic system no later than March 23, 2009. Failure to do so may result in authors’ not being allowed to present their work and/or discussants’ not commenting on the paper during the session. Access to uploaded papers is restricted to the session chair and discussants. Papers will not be made available for public use.

Central repository of papers. AERA is pleased to introduce the AERA Central Repository of Annual Meeting Papers beginning with the 2008 Annual Meeting. (This Central Repository is separate from the requirement for submission of final papers described in the previous paragraph.) Participation in the repository by authors and presenters is voluntary. The repository will be accessible from the AERA website and will be open to the public.

III. General Information

The 2009 AERA Annual Meeting will be held from Monday, April 13, through Friday, April 17, in San Diego, California. The program will consist primarily of presentations selected through a peer review

process by program chairs of divisions, committees, and special interest groups (SIGs). In addition, there will be invited speakers and symposia, panel discussions, professional development courses, and graduate student programs.

All proposal submitters, reviewers, and program/section chairs will be accessing the AERA Online Proposal Submission System (All Academic) through a central portal linked to the AERA membership/contact database. The integration between All Academic and the AERA database will simplify the log-in process as well as enhance the ability to maintain the most up-to-date user contact information, which is critical for ongoing communications and updates.

Inquiries about specific divisional, committee, or SIG areas of interest should be directed to the respective program chairs (see the “Divisions,” “Committees,” and “Special Interest Groups” sections under “Call Details”). General inquiries regarding the Annual Meeting may be addressed to the AERA Meetings Department (e-mail annualmtg@aera.net; phone 202-238-3200).

Guidelines for submitting proposals are set forth below. Each submission must be directed to a single committee, division, or SIG. Additional information about the content for submissions to these entities is set forth in the “Call Details” section. Also, those submitting proposals are encouraged to examine the review criteria in the “General Procedures and Policies” section.

Participation limits. To promote broad participation in the Annual Meeting, ***an individual may not appear as first author on more than two proposals. In addition, an individual may not appear on the program more than four times (e.g., in the role of chair, discussant, presenter, or participant).*** The participation limit does not include participation in invited sessions or any session connected with an AERA office, committee, or award (e.g., AERA division/SIG business meetings, addresses, or awards ceremonies).

An individual or session proposal may be submitted only to a single committee, division, or SIG.

Should the author(s) submit a proposal to more than one unit (committee, division, or SIG), ALL versions of the proposal will be removed from further consideration. This *does* include proposals that are submitted multiple times with slight changes in the title.

IV. Submitting Proposals

When? The details on the opening and closing of the submission system are as follows, for submissions for all divisions, committees, and SIGs:

Opening Date	Closing Date and Time
June 1, 2008	August 1, 2008, at 11:59 p.m. (Pacific Standard Time)

No late submissions will be accepted.

How? All proposals must be submitted electronically at <http://www.aera.net> through the AERA Online Proposal Submission System. ***Proposals submitted by fax, mail, or e-mail will NOT be accepted.***

Where? Each proposal may be submitted only once, to a single AERA division, committee, or SIG. If this rule is violated, all submissions of the proposal will be removed from further consideration.

Program chairs may redirect a proposal to another division, SIG, or committee if the subject matter is more appropriate elsewhere. If joint sponsorship is appropriate for the proposal, please note it on the online submission form.

Who? Any AERA member may submit a proposal for consideration to any division, committee, or SIG listed in this call, regardless of membership in that unit. A non-AERA member may submit a proposal if (a) an AERA member is a coauthor of the paper, (b) an AERA member is a participant in the

session being organized, or (c) the proposal is sponsored by a current AERA member. Nonmembers should provide the name of the AERA member sponsoring the proposal in the “Sponsoring Member” box on the online submission form. An invitation from a member of the Program Committee to submit a proposal constitutes adequate sponsorship. Anyone (member or nonmember) who attends or participates in a session must register for the Annual Meeting.

Types of proposals. AERA accepts two types of proposals: (a) an *individual proposal*, which involves a single paper, poster, or presentation with one or more authors (individual proposals that are accepted will be grouped into sessions by program or section chairs); and (b) a *session proposal*, which is for a symposium or other fully planned session, typically involving multiple presentations or participants.

File format for proposal summary. Submitters may prepare a proposal summary using a word processing application such as Microsoft Word or WordPerfect. Rich text format (RTF) or PDF files are also acceptable. When a proposal is submitted, the summary can also be uploaded in one of these formats. The All Academic Convention™ software will automatically convert all proposal summaries in non-PDF format to a standard format (PDF) upon submission.

Special requests. When entering proposals into the Online Proposal Submission System, submitters may indicate special scheduling requests, such as desired times and dates, or times when submitters are unavailable.

Special note. The submission processes for both individual and session (symposium) proposals involve filling out the online form and then uploading the required proposal summary, but the two processes differ in other ways. Please see specific instructions, below, for each type of proposal.

A. Submitting an Individual Proposal

To submit an individual proposal (including a paper with multiple authors), follow these instructions for the Online Proposal Submission System:

- (1) After you enter the All Academic system, the first screen will allow you to select the unit (division, committee, or SIG) to which your proposal is to be submitted.
- (2) The system will prompt you to select the type of proposal presentation.
- (3) The system will prompt you to enter the following information:
 - *Title.* The paper title should be entered with proper capitalization: Make sure that the first letter of each appropriate word is capitalized.
 - *Abstract.* Submit an *abstract* of 100 to 120 words. Abstracts for accepted proposals may be made publicly available online or in print.
 - *Consent for audiotaping of presentation.* You will be asked to provide consent for yourself and on behalf of all other authors on the proposal so that the presentation can be audiotaped if the proposal is accepted and the session is selected for audiotaping.
 - *Descriptors.* Provide descriptors for the proposal that will be used in the subject index of the Annual Meeting Program. The Online Proposal Submission System will prompt you to choose up to three descriptors from a list.
 - *Research method.* Name the research method that best describes the proposal (Conceptual/Theoretical, Mixed Method, Qualitative, or Quantitative).
 - *Preferred session format.* You may indicate up to three (3) preferences for placement of your individual proposals. The session formats include paper sessions, poster fairs, and paper discussion presentations (formerly known as roundtables). See descriptions of these session formats in the “Session Formats” section of this call. Program chairs will group and assign accepted individual proposals to sessions using different session formats, taking submitters’

preferences into consideration. The final session format will be determined by the program chairs, who reserve the right to make changes where needed.

- *Special requests.* Please use this space to detail any special requests, such as scheduling considerations.
 - *ADA.* Please use this space to detail any requirements related to the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- (4) Provide the names, institutional affiliations, and e-mail addresses of any coauthors. Please search the database for the coauthors' names. Doing this will avoid duplication of names in the system. (Duplicate entries may result in scheduling conflicts and other problems.) If the names are not in the system, you can then add them to the submission system.
- (5) Specify any audiovisual equipment that you will need. LCD projectors for computer hookup will be provided at no charge by AERA, but they must be requested when the proposal is submitted. Other equipment (e.g., overhead projectors) may also be requested, with charges for use to be paid by the presenters.
- (6) A summary page will appear, giving you the opportunity to review the data that you have entered.
- (7) The final step in the submission process is uploading the proposal summary. ***Provide a summary of 2,000 words or fewer*** (excluding references) for use in judging the merits of the proposed paper. The summary should deal explicitly with as many of the following as are applicable, preferably in this order:
1. Objectives or purposes
 2. Perspective(s) or theoretical framework
 3. Methods, techniques, or modes of inquiry
 4. Data sources or evidence
 5. Results and/or conclusions/point of view
 6. Educational or scientific importance of the study
- (8) If a proposal is submitted successfully, the system will display a confirmation screen. ***If the system does not show a confirmation screen, the submission was not completed successfully.*** Please review the submission process.

Program chairs may reject a proposal without review if the abstract or proposal exceeds the word limits specified above.
--

Individual proposals are reviewed without author identification (i.e., blind review). Therefore, the proposal summary should not contain any references to the proposal's authors or other identifying information. Failure to exclude author identification from the proposal may disqualify the proposal from consideration.
--

If your individual proposal is accepted and placed in a paper session:

- You must upload the complete text of your final paper to the All Academic system for restricted use by the session chair and discussant no later than ***March 23, 2009***. Papers that are submitted after this date may be removed from the Annual Meeting Program by the session chair.
- The presenting author of an accepted proposal must present the submission at the Annual Meeting. If a presenting author is unable to do so, he or she must immediately notify the program or section chair and the AERA Central Office to determine the appropriate course of action.

- Authors may choose to place a copy of the final paper in the AERA Central Repository of Annual Meeting Papers following the Annual Meeting.

B. Submitting a Session Proposal

A symposium, panel discussion, or other session format (see descriptions in the “Session Formats” section of this call) provides opportunities, not afforded by a single paper, to examine a specific problem or topic from a variety of perspectives, engage in intensive discussion, or draw on a wide range of experiences. Organizers of sessions propose the topic, solicit participants, and describe the format to be used. The organizer must obtain the consent of all participants before submitting a session proposal.

The Program Committee encourages session proposals that demonstrate diverse approaches, reflecting various racial, cultural, language, and gender perspectives; disciplinary bases and/or research paradigms; education levels (K–12 schools and institutions of higher education); and kinds of research, practice, and policy.

Note: Some divisions, committees, and SIGs use blind reviews for session proposals; others allow participants to be identified. Specific information can be found in the “Call Details” section. If blind review is not specified, all participants must be identified when a session proposal is submitted.

To submit a session proposal, follow these instructions for the Online Proposal Submission System:

- (1) After you enter the All Academic system, the first screen will allow you to select the unit (division, committee, or SIG) to which your proposal is to be submitted.
- (2) The system will prompt you to select the type of proposal presentation.
- (3) The system will prompt you to enter the following information:
 - *Title.* The paper title should be entered with proper capitalization: Make sure that the first letter of each appropriate word is capitalized.
 - *Abstract.* Submit an *abstract* of 100 to 120 words. Abstracts for accepted proposals may be made publicly available online or in print.
 - *Consent for audiotaping of presentation.* You will be asked to provide consent for yourself and on behalf of all other authors/presenters on the proposal so that the session can be audiotaped if the proposal is accepted and the session is selected for audiotaping.
 - *Length of session.* Sessions are generally scheduled for 1½-hour periods. Specific requests may be made for a 2-hour time slot; sessions requiring 2 hours generally are scheduled for either the first or the last period of the day. The number of 2-hour time slots is limited; these slots are scheduled based on availability.
 - *Estimated attendance.* Please provide a best estimate of the number of prospective session attendees.
 - *Descriptors.* Provide descriptors for the proposal that will be used in the subject index of the Annual Meeting Program. The Online Proposal Submission System will prompt you to choose up to three descriptors from a list.
 - *Special requests.* Please use this space to detail any special requests, such as scheduling considerations.
 - *ADA.* Please use this space to detail any requirements related to the Americans with Disabilities Act.

- (4) Provide the names, institutional affiliations, and e-mail addresses of session organizer(s), chair(s), and participants. Please search the database for these people's names. Doing this will avoid duplication of names in the system. (Duplicate entries may result in scheduling conflicts and other problems.) If the names are not in the system, you can add them to the submission system.
- (5) Specify any audiovisual equipment that you will need. LCD projectors for computer hookup will be provided at no charge by AERA, but they must be requested when the proposal is submitted. Other equipment (e.g., overhead projectors) may be requested, with charges for use to be paid by the presenters.
- (6) A summary page will appear, giving you the opportunity to review the data that you have entered.
- (7) The final step in the submissions process is uploading the proposal summary. **Provide a summary of 2,600 words or fewer** (excluding references) for use in judging the merits of the proposed symposium or other type of session. The summary should deal explicitly with as many of the following as are applicable, preferably in this order:
 1. Objectives of the session
 2. Educational or scientific importance
 3. For each presentation or participant: point of view represented, objectives, perspectives, methods, data source, conclusions
 4. Discussion of how the session will be structured

Alternatively, a session proposal summary may consist of a general description of the session (200–500 words) and a description of each presentation (800 words each), combined in a single document. The total length of a session proposal should not exceed 2,600 words.

- (8) If a proposal is submitted successfully, the system will display a confirmation screen. **If the system does not show a confirmation screen, the submission was not completed successfully.** Please review the submission process.

Program chairs may reject a proposal without review if the abstract or proposal exceeds the word limits specified above.

If your session proposal is accepted:

- Only the session organizer will be notified of the acceptance of a session proposal; the organizer is responsible for notifying all participants.
- The session organizer must determine whether each participant or discussant will attend the Annual Meeting. If a participant cannot attend, the organizer must find a suitable replacement and notify the program or section chairs and the AERA Central Office immediately to determine the appropriate course of action.
- Authors may choose to place a copy of the final paper in the AERA Central Repository of Annual Meeting Papers following the Annual Meeting.

V. General Procedures and Policies

Originality of submissions. For both individual and session proposals, only submissions that have not been previously published or presented at another professional meeting are eligible.

Participation limits. To promote broad participation in the Annual Meeting, **an individual may not appear as first author on more than two proposals. In addition, an individual may not appear on the program more than**

four times (e.g., in the role of chair, discussant, presenter, or participant). This participation limit does not include participation in invited sessions or any session connected with an AERA office, committee, or award (e.g., AERA division/SIG business meetings, addresses, or awards ceremonies).

An individual or session proposal may be submitted only to a single committee, division, or SIG.

Should the author(s) submit a proposal to more than one unit (committee, division, or SIG), ALL versions of the proposal will be removed from further consideration. This *does* include proposals that are submitted multiple times with slight changes in the title.

Review. Guidelines for reviewers are developed by each division, committee, or SIG, as are guidelines for allocating the limited number of sessions to permit appropriate program time for worthy individual and session proposals. Invited sessions are organized solely by the program chair(s) and judiciously incorporated into the program for enrichment and relationship to the program.

All proposals are sent to at least two individuals for review. Individual proposals are reviewed blind (without author identification). The decision whether to use a blind review process for session proposals is made at the division, committee, or SIG level. Guidelines for reviewers are developed by each division, committee, or SIG. Depending on the format and type of scholarly work being proposed, the appropriate criteria from among the following will be used to evaluate proposals: (a) topic (originality, choice of problem, importance of issues, relevance to program theme); (b) relevance of topic to division, committee, or SIG; (c) contribution to education research (scientific/educational importance, theoretical/practical significance); (d) frameworks (theoretical/conceptual/practical frameworks, rationale, literature review, grounding); (e) analyses and interpretations (significance of conclusions; implications for research, practice, policy; development of ideas; relationship of conclusions to findings; generalizability or usefulness of findings or concepts); (f) written proposal (quality of writing, clarity, logic, organization); (g) audience appeal (member appeal, journal article quality); (h) mode of inquiry (research design, methods, rigor, use of evidence, quality of data sources, adequacy); (i) format (appropriateness of format to content, issues, or themes); and (j) opportunities for interaction (opportunities for contributions from audience or exchange of multiple perspectives).

Program scheduling conflicts. Only first authors, designated presenters, session chairs, and discussants will be scheduled conflict free for sessions.

VI. Session Formats

A. Formats for Individual Proposals

The following session formats are used by program chairs to group accepted individual proposals. All formats provide a means for grouping related individual proposals into sessions, with different opportunities for discussant and audience participation. All meeting rooms will be set up with chairs in a theater-style configuration, except for poster sessions, professional development courses, and paper discussion presentation (formerly roundtable) sessions.

New Member Poster Session. New members of AERA who choose this option present their accepted papers in a poster format during the first evening of the Annual Meeting. A new member poster session provides an opportunity for face-to-face interaction and networking. Authors may also have the opportunity to discuss their presentations with a senior member of their division during a later closed session. These sessions provide a welcoming and useful experience for new members in an environment that is provocative for all, linking new ideas with established wisdom. Because of the physical configuration of this type of session, no additional audiovisual equipment, such as a screen or overhead projector, is provided. Authors wishing to use a PowerPoint-type presentation may plan on displaying the presentation from their own laptop computer screens. If you plan to use a laptop, please be sure the battery is charged, as AERA cannot provide power.

Paper Discussion (formerly known as “Roundtable”). Paper discussions allow maximum interaction with the presenters by eliminating the formal presentation. Individual presenters are assigned to numbered tables in a large meeting room where interested persons may gather for discussion with the presenter about his or her paper or project. This format is particularly appropriate for papers addressing topics best pursued through extensive discussion. Authors must bring copies of the full paper and should remain available for discussion throughout the 40-minute session. Time for a discussant and for general discussion may also be included. Because of the physical configuration of this type of session, no additional audiovisual equipment, such as a screen or overhead projector, is provided. Authors wishing to use a PowerPoint-type presentation may plan on displaying the presentation from their own laptop computer screens. If you plan to use a laptop, please be sure the battery is charged, as AERA cannot provide power.

Paper Session. In paper sessions, authors present abbreviated versions of their papers, followed by comments/critiques by a discussant and audience discussion. A typical structure for a session with four or five papers is approximately 5 minutes for the chair’s introduction to the session, 10 minutes per author presentation, 20 minutes of critique, and 15 minutes of discussion.

Poster Session. Poster sessions combine the graphic display of materials with the opportunity for individualized, informal discussion of the research throughout a 1½-hour session. Individual presenters set up displays representing their papers in a large area with other presenters. Detailed instructions on preparation of posters will be available at <http://www.aera.net>. Because of the physical configuration of this type of session, no additional audiovisual equipment, such as a screen or overhead projector, is provided. Authors wishing to use a PowerPoint-type presentation may plan on displaying the presentation from their own laptop computer screens. If you plan to use a laptop, please be sure the battery is charged, as AERA cannot provide power.

Structured Poster Session. This format blends the presentation and discussion of a paper session or symposium with the individual focus of a poster fair. Part of the session is set aside for an introduction and brief oral presentations to the audience gathered as a group; time for a discussant and for general discussion may also be included. During the remaining time, attendees wander at will among poster stations (usually 8 to 10) that are linked conceptually. Because of the physical configuration of this type of session, no additional audiovisual equipment, such as a screen or overhead projector, is provided. Authors wishing to use a PowerPoint-type presentation may plan on displaying the presentation from their own laptop computer screens. If you plan to use a laptop, please be sure the battery is charged, as AERA cannot provide power.

B. Formats for Session Proposals

Demonstration. In a demonstration, two or more presenters focus on an educational tool (e.g., a piece of software to aid research, a computer-based simulation, a specific teaching method, or a multimedia-based approach to some subject) and run it through its paces, often including some hands-on time for participants. The presentation may include references to some completed research, but the purpose of the session is to demonstrate the tool, not to present the research for critique.

Interactive Symposium. An interactive symposium encourages engagement with its topic, enriches the experience of attendees through active discussion, and promotes deeper understanding by all (including presenters). A large portion of the session is devoted to activities such as a panel discussion among the presenters and discussants, questions and discussion among all those present at the session, or small-group interaction. It is important to have a chair willing to maintain time limits on speakers and skilled in facilitating discussion. Innovative formats (e.g., town meetings) can be proposed under this rubric.

Off-Site Visit. Off-site visits offer participants site-specific learning, such as observation of a school in session. This format takes advantage of the unique attributes of the city in which the Annual Meeting is

occurring and connects researchers with concrete examples of relevant work. The organizer makes arrangements for a block of supervised and structured time—as little as 2 hours or as much as a day—to be spent at a site other than the meeting rooms (e.g., a school, museum, science lab, or community agency) toward a goal compatible with the Annual Meeting’s theme and content. It is useful to protect a period of time for shared reflection on the visit. It is the organizer’s responsibility to arrange for transportation to and from the off-site venue.

Performance. In a performance session, some or all of the material brought forward for discussion is presented through a performance (e.g., readers’ theater, dance, or music). Introductory remarks, overview of research, questions, discussion, or problem solving may precede or follow. A performance may be used to illustrate some aspect of the presentation and to foster a particular and multidimensional understanding by participants, as one might use a film clip or role-playing. More substantively, the performance might offer participants a way to gain knowledge of some crucial material or point of view that cannot be adequately presented through description alone.

Symposium. A symposium provides an opportunity for examining specific problems or topics from a variety of perspectives. Symposia may present alternative solutions, interpretations, or contrasting points of view on a specified subject or in relation to a common theme. Symposia may also use a panel discussion format targeted at a clearly delineated issue or idea. Panel members are expected to prepare a commentary paper addressing central questions regarding the issue under consideration.

Workshop. A workshop provides an opportunity to exchange information or work on a common problem, project, or shared interest. Presentations are brief, allowing adequate time for reflective discussion and interaction. (Proposals for courses and mini-courses should be submitted to the AERA Professional Development and Training Committee through the Online Proposal Submission System. Special submissions instructions are available online.)

VII. Descriptors

The descriptors used for preparing the subject index of the Annual Meeting Program are being reviewed by the 2009 Program Committee. ***An updated list of descriptors will be available online on June 1.*** The Online Proposal Submission System will prompt submitters to select descriptors from a pull-down list.

VIII. Call Details

A. Divisions

Division A: Administration, Organization, and Leadership

Program Chair: Lenoar (Len) Foster

Division A encourages proposals that address issues related to the conference theme, “Disciplined Inquiry: Education Research in the Circle of Knowledge.” As represented by the five divisional sections below, Division A is particularly interested in proposals that illustrate and demonstrate the efficacy of and need for interdisciplinary approaches, the significance of multiple methodological perspectives, and the inclusion of diverse voices and perspectives to contribute widely to the improvement of educational leadership, policy, and practice. ***Individual proposals should be submitted for blind review. Proposals for group sessions (symposia and other alternative formats) will be reviewed with author identification, so be sure to include author information. Please assign three topic descriptors at the end of proposal abstracts and summaries for use in assigning reviewers and grouping papers in sessions. Use the descriptors that will help differentiate your topic within a section (i.e., Section 1: Leadership, e.g.,***

democratic leadership, governance, etc.). For general questions, contact the program chair, Lenoar (Len) Foster, Washington State University, 509-335-1738, lenf@wsu.edu.

Section 1: Leadership

Proposals that study leadership in schools and school systems, including inquiries into the leadership of teaching and learning; global and multicultural dimensions of leadership; distributed, transformational, or instructional leadership; leadership effect; leadership in communities of practice; leadership for governance and policy reform; leadership for democracy and social justice; leadership in local, national, and international contexts; moral and spiritual dimensions of leadership; and critical interpretive perspectives on leadership. *Section Chairs: Pamela Salazar, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Salazar@unlv.nevada.edu; Kathleen Jorissen, Western Carolina University, ktjorissen@wcu.edu.*

Section 2: School Organization and Effects

Proposals that examine the organization of schools and school systems and its effects on leadership, teacher work, and student learning, including inquiries into innovative designs and new organizational forms for schools (e.g., charters, privatization, home schooling, small schools, for-profit ventures); schools and school systems as learning communities; the effects on equity and achievement of structural and organizational features of schools (e.g., tracking scheduling, student and staff assignment procedures, school and class size); the impact of legislation and policy (e.g., international education policies, the No Child Left Behind Act, local and state reforms) on school organization; school culture and climate; and the consequences of public and private organizational models for school and school systems. *Section Chair: Curt Adams, University of Oklahoma, Curt.Adams-1@ou.edu.*

Section 3: School Improvement

Proposals that examine how the change and improvement processes in schools and school systems affect valued outcomes such as teaching and learning, democratic schooling, equity, and social justice, including inquiries into how these efforts advance the public good of an educated citizenry and equitable society; organizational and leadership responses to the change and improvement process; the use of assessment data and other sources of information to promote school improvement; workforce and professional development targeted for school improvement; the relationship of school and system change to public advocacy, community development, and social movements; and the ways in which theories of change in public and private organizations can inform school development and improvement. *Section Chair: Rosusan Barte, University of Mississippi, rdbartee@olemiss.edu.*

Section 4: School Contexts and Communities

Proposals that explore the context and environments that shape education in a global and democratic society, including inquiries into racial, ethnic, and social class dynamics in schools, neighborhoods, and districts; cultural, political, and economic forces and their influence on schooling (e.g., immigration, segregation, globalization); the nature of the effects of parent and community involvement in schools, school-business partnerships, and other multisector initiatives; schools' role in community development and alternative models for social service delivery; social, cultural, and political capital as a contextual element of schooling; neighborhoods, communities, and schools as contexts for teaching and learning opportunities; and school leaders' efforts to address diversity across family and community constituencies. *Section Chair: Paul Pitre, Washington State University, pepitre@wsu.edu.*

Section 5: Leadership Development

Proposals that assess how the preparation and ongoing professional development of school leaders (e.g., superintendents, school board members, principals, and building-level leaders, teacher leaders, and others) serve the public interest, including studies that inquire into conceptualization, description, and

evaluation of models for leadership preparation; assess and critique practices for leadership development promoted by higher education, not-for-profits, corporate entities, professional associations, and educational authorities; examine collaboration across multiple constituencies interested in the improvement of leadership; assess practices affecting the recruitment and retention of a highly qualified and diverse leadership workforce; examine the role of standards and standards-based evaluation on the development of school and system leadership; and explore how leadership preparation and development are linked to the teaching and learning practices that affect students and staff. *Section Chair: Mark Gooden, University of Cincinnati, mark.gooden@uc.edu.*

Division B: Curriculum Studies

Program Chair: Robert Helfenbein Jr.

Division B invites proposals on curriculum studies broadly defined. This scholarship includes studies that examine the theory, policy, development, enactment, and evaluation of curriculum. Although we expect that the vast majority of submissions will focus on formal educational institutions at all levels and in a variety of settings, we invite submissions that examine curriculum found in other parts of our lives and all over the world. We particularly welcome proposals relevant to this year's conference theme: "Disciplined Inquiry: Education Research in the Circle of Knowledge." Both individual paper and session proposals will be reviewed anonymously. Therefore, abstracts and summaries should not identify by name any of the participants. For information, contact the appropriate section chair. *For general questions, e-mail Robert Helfenbein Jr., Indiana University–Indianapolis, rhelfenb@iupui.edu.*

Section 1: Critical Perspectives and Practices

Critical approaches to the study of curriculum focus on the sociocultural influences on, and the sociocultural consequences of, schooling practices. Curriculum is treated as the product of political processes, shaped as much by competing material and social interests as by the epistemology of the subject matter being taught. Studies appropriate for this section draw upon a variety of academic traditions, including, but not limited to, critical theory, critical race theory, critical ethnography, cultural studies, all branches of ethnic studies, feminism, postcolonialism, post-structuralism, pragmatism, queer theory, and the sociology of knowledge. Of particular interest is research focusing on the promotion of social justice and civic responsibility both in and through school practices. Many research methods, including those mentioned for other sections, are appropriate for submission to this section. *Section Chair: Lisa W. Loutzenheiser, University of British Columbia, loutzl@interchange.ubc.ca.*

Section 2: Studies in Diversity

Schools, neighborhoods, and communities are becoming increasingly diverse, and curriculum theory, development, and implementation need to reflect these changes. Researchers are invited to submit proposals examining the interaction of diversity and curriculum as they shape the development of students in diverse learning contexts. In particular, we are interested in research addressing issues related to diversity of all kinds (ethnicity, race, language, religion, gender, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, disability, etc.) as it intersects with social, cultural, societal, political, and economic influences in school, classroom, family, and national and international community landscapes. All methodological and philosophical perspectives will be considered. *Section Chair: Tarajean Yazzie-Mintz, Indiana University, tyazziem@indiana.edu.*

Section 3: Interpretive/Qualitative Studies of Curriculum

This section invites submissions that examine subject matter through alternative, creative, or artful education research methodologies, including but not limited to auto-ethnography, artful inquiry, narrative, or poststructural research. In particular, we are interested in interpretive/qualitative studies of ways in which curriculum is intended, enacted, or engaged with by various stakeholders. Research conducted by or with practitioners, students, or community members is welcome. *Section Chair: Pauline Sameshima, Washington State University, psameshima@wsu.edu.*

Section 4: Ecological and Community Perspectives

“How are we to live together?” is an ethical and ecological as well as educational question. This section invites proposals that bring ecological and cultural perspectives directly into the realm of curriculum studies. We encourage participation from a broad range of perspectives, which include ecojustice education; place-based and environmental education; sustainability, indigenous, interspecies, and permaculture education; as well as research on the classroom and communities as ecologies of language, culture, and thought. We also encourage proposals that deal with the many educational dimensions of community building and activism. *Section Co-Chairs: Rebecca Martusewicz, Eastern Michigan University, rmartusew@emich.edu; Kelly Young, Trent University, kellyyoung@trentu.ca.*

Section 5: Studies in History and Philosophy

This section invites proposals in the history and philosophy of curriculum. Scholarship in these areas connects theory, conception, and/or empirical studies. We welcome a range of studies, including inquiry from local and particular sites as well as those more global; those that seek to extend beyond conventional historical and philosophical approaches; those that relate these disciplinary perspectives to each other; and those that arise out of the conference theme. *Section Chair: Lynn Fendler, Michigan State University, fendler@msu.edu.*

Division C: Learning and Instruction

Program Chair: Roxana Moreno

Division C invites proposals concerning research on learning, instruction, and assessment, and the relationships among them. Appropriate research topics include learning and instruction in content areas such as reading, writing, history, fine arts, mathematics, and science; formal and informal learning environments; evidence-based principles for instructional design and methods; effective and innovative uses of technology to foster learning; social and motivational contexts of learning; and individual, developmental, or cultural differences as they relate directly to learning and instruction. Submissions to Division C span a wide range of theoretical orientations and research methodologies. Priority will be given to proposals that report findings drawn from actual data rather than to those that report anticipated results. Please choose three topic descriptors for use in assigning reviewers and grouping papers in sessions. Please use descriptors that characterize your proposal and will help differentiate your topic within a section (e.g., if you are submitting to Section 3 on Mathematics, use of “Mathematics Education” is not specific enough to be a helpful descriptor, though it could be very useful to help distinguish among submissions to one of the other sections). *Individual submissions will be reviewed without author identification. Proposals for group sessions (symposia, structured poster sessions, and other alternative formats) will be reviewed with author identification, so be sure to include author information.*

Presentation formats. You may choose among several presentation formats, including poster sessions, paper discussions (roundtables), individual paper sessions, structured poster sessions, and

symposia. Proposals for structured poster sessions and symposia should involve presenters who address related topics. Symposia should include at least one discussant. Alternative formats for sessions are invited, but proposals must be explicit about their substance, how they will operate, and their benefit to the membership.

Poster session campaign. Posters can provide a uniquely intimate opportunity for scholarly interaction about a specific topic. We are making special efforts to attract high-quality poster submissions and increase attendance at poster sessions by providing concurrent division-level receptions and awards for high-quality contributions from new members and all other presenters. We will also be inviting senior scholars within Division C to attend poster sessions and serve as floating discussants. Please consider the benefits of this format as you plan your submissions.

Awards. Each year Division C sponsors three awards: the Graduate Student Research Excellence Award, the Jan Hawkins Award, and the Sylvia Scribner Award. In addition, Division C offers a number of professional development activities for new faculty and graduate students, including mentoring opportunities and preconference seminars. For information on these awards and programs, please see the Division C website at http://www.aera.net/divisions/Default.aspx?menu_id=68&id=68.

Selecting the proper section. If your proposal focuses on learning or instruction in a specific content area (e.g., reading, writing, history, fine arts, mathematics, science), you should submit it to one of the subject-area-specific sections (i.e., 1, 2, 3, or 4) rather than to one of the more cross-cutting sections (i.e., 5, 6, 7). If your proposal focuses on the role of the learning environment, you should submit it to Section 5. If your proposal focuses on cognitive, social, or motivational processes, you should submit it to Section 6. If your proposal focuses on the specific role or use of technology in learning or instruction, you should submit it to Section 7.

Proposals that emphasize assessment as it relates to measurement and school evaluation issues, as well as proposals on teacher education, teacher effectiveness, curriculum design, and research methodology, should be sent to other divisions of AERA.

General questions should be addressed to the program chair, Roxana Moreno, University of New Mexico, moreno@unm.edu.

Section 1: Reading, Writing, and Language Arts

Research on learning, instruction, and assessment in reading, writing, and the language arts. Focuses include cognitive and cultural processes that underlie word recognition, phonological awareness, reading fluency, spelling, vocabulary, reading comprehension, writing, and second language learning. *Section Chairs: Kathleen Wilson, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, kwilson3@unl.edu; Guy Trainin, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, gtrainin2@unl.edu.*

Section 2: Humanities, Social Sciences, Fine Arts

Research on learning, instruction, and assessment in the humanities (e.g., history, literary studies), social sciences (e.g., economics, geography, political science, civics), and fine arts (e.g., art, music, dance). *Section Chair: Stephanie Van Hover, University of Virginia, svanhover@virginia.edu.*

Section 3: Mathematics

Research on mathematics learning, instruction, practice, and assessment in a range of settings (e.g., in school, out of school, or in professional arenas). The research focus may include problem solving; concept and skill development; strategy growth and change; psychological, social, and cultural factors; and uses of technology to support educational practice in mathematics. *Section Chairs: Patricio Herbst, University of Michigan, pgherbst@umich.edu; Sandra Crespo, Michigan State University, crespo@msu.edu.*

Section 4: Science

Research on science learning, instruction, practice, and assessment across a range of settings (school, out of school, workplace, or community). Focuses may include psychological, social, and/or cultural perspectives on problem solving, conceptual development and change, inquiry, equity, reform, and technology supporting educational practice and communication practices in science. *Section Chairs: Sherry Southerland, Florida State University, southerl@mail.coe.fsu.edu; Julie Kittleson, University of Georgia, jkittl@uga.edu.*

Section 5: Learning Environments

Research dealing with interdisciplinary, situated, collaborative, or interactive learning environments, and/or learning in informal contexts (e.g., out-of-school environments such as museums and workplaces), including case-based and project-based environments. *Section Chairs: Roger Azevedo, University of Memphis, razevedo@memphis.edu; Jennifer Cromley, Temple University, jcromley@temple.edu.*

Section 6: Cognitive, Social, and Motivational Processes

Research on cognitive, social, and motivational dimensions of learning, instruction, and assessment. Example research includes studies of group, individual, developmental, or cultural differences; self-regulation; metacognition; memory; skill acquisition; learning from text; reasoning; transfer; situated and embodied cognition; knowledge; goals; and beliefs. *Section Chairs: Terri DeBacker, University of Oklahoma, debacker@ou.edu; Barbara A. Greene, University of Oklahoma, barbara@ou.edu.*

Section 7: Technology Research

Research dealing with learning, instruction, and assessment in multimedia, computerized, Web-based or other technology-based environments, and evidence-based constructive critiques of the role of technology in educational improvement. *Section Chairs: Paul Ayres, University of New South Wales, p.ayres@unsw.edu.au; Tamara van Gogh, Open University of the Netherlands, tamara.vanGog@ou.nl; Liesbeth Kester, Open University of the Netherlands, liesbeth.kester@ou.nl.*

Division D: Measurement and Research Methodology

Program Chair: Rosemary Reshetar

Division D encourages proposals that address issues related to the conference theme, “Disciplined Inquiry: Education Research in the Circle of Knowledge.” Proposals are invited that concern the use of diverse research methodologies and the interface between differing epistemological perspectives. Division D invites proposals in the areas of (D1) educational measurement, psychometrics, and assessment; (D2) quantitative methods and statistical theory as applied to education research; and (D3) qualitative research methods and mixed methods research as applied to education research. To increase the interactivity of sessions, the division encourages session formats that promote engagement of the audience, such as interactive symposia, panel discussions, and paper discussions. Questions about the appropriateness of formats may be addressed to the chairs. Please submit all Division D proposals as indicated below.

Division D encourages sessions that may be cosponsored with the National Council on Measurement in Education, other AERA divisions, or SIGs. The proposals should be submitted to only one group, although joint review and sponsorship may be requested by the organizers or arranged by the program chairs. A complete list of the references cited in the proposal should be included. Preference will be given to proposals that describe projects in which data have been collected. If the proposal describes research that has not been completed at the time of submission, a schedule for data collection and analysis must be specified. Use of tables, figures, and equations should be kept to a minimum, and submissions in PDF format are encouraged. *All proposals should be prepared for blind review, with names and references to*

the author(s) removed. For general questions, e-mail Rosemary Reshetar, The College Board, rreshetar@collegeboard.org. Please contact section chairs directly for questions about submitting proposals to specific sections.

D1—Educational Measurement, Psychometrics, and Assessment. *Section Chairs: Kristen Huff, The College Board, 212-713-8326, khuff@collegeboard.org; Rosemary Reshetar, The College Board, 646-457-2997, rreshetar@collegeboard.org.*

D2—Quantitative Methods and Statistical Theory. *Section Chair: Jeffery Harring, University of Maryland, harring@umd.edu.*

D3—Qualitative Research Methods. *Section chair: Gretchen B. Rossman, University of Massachusetts—Amherst; Gretchen@educ.umass.edu; Sharon F. Rallis, University of Massachusetts—Amherst, sharonrallis@earthlink.net.*

Division E: Counseling and Human Development

Division E solicits proposals that contribute to the understanding of counseling and human development, especially in educational contexts. In keeping with the 2009 program theme, “Disciplined Inquiry: Education Research in the Circle of Knowledge,” we invite proposals that broadly advance research in counseling and human development. We believe this year’s theme is particularly relevant to Division E as many of us engage in multidisciplinary work that bridges traditional academic disciplines and professional fields. Proposals that demonstrate the value of interdisciplinary research, the significance of multiple methodological perspectives, and interactions between education and its related disciplines are of particular interest. Completed research using a variety of methodological paradigms is appropriate for submission. Demonstrations and other innovative formats that involve audience participation related to counseling/school counseling, school psychology, and human development are encouraged, as are informal discussions that allow direct interaction between a noted researcher and the audience. Areas of focus for submissions include but are not limited to (a) developmental processes for children, adolescents, and adults; (b) counseling in educational, work, and health settings; (c) vocational and career development; (d) diversity issues related to counseling, school psychology, and human development; (e) the education and training of counselors, school psychologists, and other members of the helping professions; and (f) research on counseling, school psychology, and human development intervention programs. Symposium proposals should present systematic research programs or multiple perspectives on important theoretical, applied, and/or methodological issues. The submission of proposals that represent the mutual interests of Division E and AERA SIGs or that serve to integrate counseling and human development is strongly encouraged. ***Both individual paper proposals and session proposals will be reviewed blind and should not include author identification in the text of the proposal.*** Proposals must adhere to the submission guidelines outlined in the general call for proposals.

The division accepts proposals in two sections:

Section 1: Counseling. *Section Chair: Rodney Goodyear, University of Southern California, 213-740-3267, goodyea@usc.edu.*

Section 2: Human Development. *Section Chair: W. David Wakefield, California State University, Northridge, 818-677-5926, david.wakefield@csun.edu.*

Division F: History and Historiography

Program Chair: Christine Woyshner

The Division F Program Committee is interested in all periods and topics in the history of education, especially those that have relevance to current issues and debates in the field. In keeping with the 2009 AERA program theme, Division F particularly welcomes proposals that are historiographical in character, that explore methodological issues, and that consider the implications of historical research for policy and practice.

Division F is interested in historical scholarship that enriches our understanding of the experiences of underrepresented groups and that uses innovative theoretical frameworks that carry us beyond traditional boundaries. We seek studies on the history of African American, Asian American, Latino/a, and Native American education, as well as studies of the education of contemporary immigrant groups, the working classes, and the poor. We also invite historical and comparative studies on topics such as colonial education, the origins of mass schooling, sexuality and education, rural education, urban education, education and state formation, nonpublic and community-based education, gender and education, and the implications of historical research for contemporary education policy and practice in the United States and abroad.

Proposals should identify the historical and/or historiographical sources on which the study is based and should include a brief discussion of the historical or historiographical significance of the phenomenon studied. Also, we welcome innovative formats for research presentation. ***Individual paper proposals will undergo a blind review and should not include author identification in the text of the proposal. Session proposals will be reviewed with author identification.*** For general information, contact Christine Woyshner, Temple University, 215-204-6147, cwoyshne@temple.edu.

Division G: Social Context of Education

Program Co-Chairs: Marta P. Baltodano and Adrienne D. Dixon

Division G examines processes of teaching and learning within a social context. Such an examination takes under consideration cultural, political, and economic influences. Hence, cognition, learning processes, and social organization are considered as they are situated in local and global contexts and in relation to demographic and cultural diversity. In accordance with the AERA theme for 2009, we encourage proposals that look at the processes of teaching and learning in relation to interdisciplinary scholarship. We welcome proposals that draw on perspectives from anthropology, learning sciences, critical theory, economics, philosophy, political science, social and cultural psychology, sociolinguistics and sociology, and especially those about research that cuts across both methodological and disciplinary boundaries. The five sections described below represent different ways of analyzing or focusing on educational issues in social contexts. The division invites submissions that consider issues of sociocultural differentiation and integration, including categories such as gender, race, ethnicity, social class, sexual orientation, age, immigration status, and the language(s) of students and educators. The division encourages critical analyses of intra-, inter-, and transcultural issues, as well as research that views culture in dynamic, situated, and contextualized ways, rather than in reified or essentialized ways. The division also encourages studies that take an ecological orientation and that examine processes of adaptation as people move within and across settings throughout the lifespan. Finally, the division encourages submissions that employ qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-method methodological approaches to the study of the social context of education.

Section 1: Local Contexts of Teaching and Learning

This section encompasses studies of local contexts and settings of teaching and learning in both

formal and informal venues. It invites papers with a diversity of topical interests that bring into view the local contexts and situated organizations of action and meaning. Units of analysis may be comprised of particular lessons, assessment practices, tasks, identities, structures, classroom interactions, the language of social interaction in educational settings, encounters of teaching and learning, and so on. These studies include but are not limited to qualitative designs; ethnographic, microethnographic, sociolinguistic, discourse, and other language-use studies; interactional analyses; and case studies. Our purpose is to build descriptions of, and theoretical insights about, teaching and learning in context. *Section Co-Chairs: Jennifer Esposito, jesposito@gsu.edu; Venus Evans-Winters, vevansw@ilstu.edu.*

Section 2: Education in Multicultural Contexts Within and Across Subject Areas

This section encompasses studies that focus on race, ethnicity, gender, language, social class, sexual orientation, immigration status, age, and other forms of demographic diversity. The section also includes studies on students' navigations across social and cultural contexts, adaptation from home to school, ethnic identification and cultural variation, and social barriers and constraints, as well as sources of agency that may contribute to achievement and educational opportunity. The section is particularly interested in studies that integrate multiple categories of demographic diversity. *Section Co-Chairs: Cindy Cruz, cacruz3@ucsc.edu; Zeus Leonardo, zeusleonardo@berkeley.edu.*

Section 3: Social Context of Multiple Languages and Literacies

This section encompasses studies that focus specifically on bilingualism, bi-dialectalism, multilingualism, biliteracies, and multiliteracies in either formal or informal education (including the foreign language, bilingual, and English-as-a-second-language classroom), and social settings. *Section Co-Chairs: Leticia Alvarez, leticia.alvarez@utah.edu; David Quijada, david.quijada@utah.edu.*

Section 4: Social Contexts of Educational Policy, Politics, and Praxis

This section encompasses studies that examine the role of education (in and out of school) in community and in the larger society, and the political contexts of education. The section encourages analyses of the sociocultural contexts of educational policy, teacher education reform, and pedagogy through critical, liberatory, feminist, and/or multicultural lenses. Some of the issues studied would include social change, stratification and integration, and pedagogical critique, as well as political and policy analyses. Methodologies, while not exclusive, include qualitative and quantitative analyses used in the disciplines of anthropology, economics, political science, sociology, and gender and ethnic studies. *Section Co-Chairs: Anthony L. Brown, anthony.brown25@mail.utexas.edu; Jamel K. Donnor, jdonnor@fullerton.edu.*

Section 5. Social Context of Research on Schools and Communities

This section encompasses rigorous critical research studies that focus on transformative inquiries in schools, neighborhoods, and communities. It encourages high-quality applied research that is collaborative, transformative, and empowering, and that creates greater opportunities for historically marginalized and oppressed communities. Research studies that are situated in the local and global contexts of education, that are transdisciplinary, culturally appropriate, and collaborative, and that have the greater potential for creating action in K–12 schools and their communities are included in this section. *Section Co-Chairs: Patrick Camangian, pcamangian@gmail.com; Noah De Lissovoy, delissovoy@mail.utexas.edu.*

Division G encourages proposals for presentations that will stimulate dialogue and debate among session participants. To increase the number of accepted proposals and the likelihood of such dialogue, submitters may be asked to use alternative formats when appropriate. Priority will be given to proposals that report actual, rather than anticipated, results. We also encourage proposals that include community

activists', teachers', parents', and youths' perspectives alongside those of researchers. All proposals will be reviewed without author identification. Please submit proposals without author names on the abstracts or summaries. Proposals that bear the names of the authors and/or participants will not be considered for review and, consequently, for the Division G Program of the 2009 AERA Annual Meeting. *For general questions, contact the Division G Co-Chairs: Marta P. Baltodano, Loyola Marymount University, 310-338-3770, mbaltoda@lmu.edu; Adrienne D. Dixson, Ohio State University, 614-247-8461, dixson.1@osu.edu.*

Division H: Research, Evaluation, and Assessment in Schools

Program Chair: Dale Whittington

Division H invites proposals that focus on research, evaluation, assessment, and accountability studies implemented in school districts by school district staff and others to inform the decisions of school district staff and constituencies. Such studies could include:

- Evaluating implementation of school reforms, programs, professional development, or policies.
- Evaluating the effectiveness of various pre-K–12 educational programs, initiatives, and professional development activities.
- Identifying effective schools and practices and determining the reasons for such effectiveness.
- Examining the implementation and impact of standards, promotion and graduation requirements, and high-stakes assessment on students, teachers, and school systems.
- Identifying innovative methods and approaches that have potential to improve the practice of program evaluation.
- Maximizing the usefulness and use of research and evaluation efforts, e.g., refining communication techniques and providing training on data use.
- Increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of research and evaluation methods, data collection, and assessment.

This year's conference theme is "Disciplined Inquiry: Education Research in the Circle of Knowledge." Work that is consistent with the theme as set out in the AERA Call for Proposals will be given priority. To that end, we encourage the submission of research that (a) employs research approaches that reflect more than one academic discipline; (b) blends the use of qualitative and quantitative techniques; (c) reflects collaboration between academics and practitioners; and (d) includes reflective consideration of how methodologies from multiple disciplines enhance the quality of research studies. A key consideration is high-quality pre-K–12 education research that informs efforts to improve outcomes for all students.

Any of the session formats provided for by AERA are acceptable to Division H. The program committee reserves the right to place paper submissions on the program as paper discussion sessions (formerly roundtables) or poster sessions to better use program allocations. ***Please submit individual proposals without author identification for blind review; this does not apply to symposia (now called sessions) and other multipresenter sessions.***

For general questions and comments, contact Dale Whittington, Shaker Heights City School District, 216-295-4363, fax 216-295-4340, Whittington_d@shaker.org. Please contact section chairs directly for questions about submitting proposals to specific sections.

Section 1: Applied Research in the Schools

We encourage proposals that (a) are based on collaborations between school districts and state departments, universities, or research organizations; and (b) help identify educational interventions that improve student learning. Proposals may include in-house institutional research, action research, or studies conducted by external researchers. *Section Co-Chairs: Donna Snodgrass, Greater Cleveland Educational Development Center at Cleveland State University, 216-523-7108, fax 216-687-5422, d.snodgrass@csuohio.edu; Julie Snipes-Rea, Cleveland Metropolitan School District, 216-858-0673, fax 216-574-8139, julie.k.snipes-rea@cmsdnet.net.*

Section 2: Program Evaluation in School Settings

Formal evaluations of school or district programs and meta-evaluations are appropriate for Section 2. Proposals may include: (a) formative or implementation evaluations of programs, policies, or initiatives; (b) summative or outcome evaluations of programs or initiatives; (c) innovative approaches to or methods for the practice of program evaluations; and (d) professional development evaluations. Evaluation studies should incorporate the Program Evaluation Standards and school-university collaboration as appropriate. *Section Chair: Shahpar Modarresi, Montgomery County Public Schools, 301-279-3579, fax 301-279-3449, Shahpar_Modarresi@mcpsmd.org.*

Section 3: Assessment in the Schools

Of special interest are proposals dealing with the development of effective local district assessments and assessment programs, the use of assessment results to inform instructional decisions/practice, and the impact of involving students in their own assessment. Proposals can include (a) district, state, and national assessment programs; and (b) large-scale or classroom assessment. *Section Chair: Paul Favaro, Peel District School Board, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, 905-890-1010 ext. 2611, fax 905-890-2752, paul.favaro@peelsb.com.*

Section 4: Accountability in the Schools

This section seeks proposals concerned with the development and/or implementation of local, state, or national accountability systems in the schools. Proposals should address the impact of such systems on student learning, including both intended and unintended consequences. *Section Chair: Bruce Hislop, Prince George's County Public Schools, 301-952-6240, fax 301-952-6199, Bruce.Hislop@pgcps.org.*

Division I: Education in the Professions

Program Chair: Susan Case

Division I invites proposals for papers, symposia, paper discussions, and other formats on the topic of education for the professions (e.g., architecture, engineering, health professions, law, teaching). Areas include but are not limited to (a) curriculum development, reform, innovation, and evaluation; (b) instructional methodology; (c) student and faculty development; (d) assessment of performance; (e) the nature of professional identity, socialization, responsibility, and expertise; (f) social, cultural, political, economic, and organizational efforts to relate professional education and research to improvement of practice; and (g) workplace learning.

Paper session proposals must represent completed work and include results and conclusions. ***All individual paper submissions must be without author identification, for blind review; session proposals must include names and a presentation title for each speaker.*** Symposia must indicate that participants have agreed to be present at AERA should their symposium be accepted. Work in progress may be

submitted only as a paper discussion presentation (check “paper discussion” on the electronic Individual Submission Proposal).

Criteria for assessment of proposals include (a) theoretical and practical significance; (b) originality; (c) soundness of scholarship and research design; (d) proper study execution; (e) soundness of findings and conclusions; (f) implications for practice, clarity, and organization of the proposal; and (g) applicability across the professions. Proposals summarizing well-conducted inquiry (including theoretical analyses or integrative reviews) grounded in a variety of disciplines and research traditions are welcome.

The division strongly encourages proposals that (a) have application across professions; (b) intersect with the work of other divisions, such that joint sponsorship may be appropriate; and (c) are related to the Annual Meeting theme. Innovative formats designed to increase collegial interaction and discourse are also encouraged, such as interactive symposia, technology demonstrations, and paper discussions. Also, the Program Committee welcomes suggestions for invited sessions. Please direct your suggestions for speakers or topics to the Program Chair.

In addition to other required materials, a 100-word abstract must be included with all proposals. Abstracts of accepted proposals will be published in the *Professions Education Researcher Quarterly*, which is distributed to Division I members in advance of the Meeting. Division I strictly adheres to the AERA word limit on proposals and cannot consider those proposals that do not stay within the word limit. We expect a statement to be included indicating that the study has received institutional review board approval.

Address questions to Susan Case, scase@ncbex.org.

Division J: Postsecondary Education

Program Chair: Kristen Renn

Division J invites proposals for papers, symposia, paper discussions, and posters concerning postsecondary and adult education at institutions of all types. The division encourages proposals covering a broad range of scholarship, including empirical, theoretical, and policy directed. Note that for the first time, Division J has divided proposals related to students into two categories, as noted in Sections 1 and 2, below.

Questions about proposals should be directed to Kristen Renn, the program chair, at renn@msu.edu.

Section 1: College Student Learning and Development

Proposals focused on how students learn formally and informally and/or how they develop in a variety of domains (e.g., cognitive, social, psychological, identity). Also included are topics related to assessment of programs and services for students. *Section Chairs: Jane Pizzolato, University of Pittsburgh, jane.pizzolato@gmail.com; Terrell Strayhorn, University of Tennessee, strayhorn@utk.edu.*

Section 2: College Student Access, Success, and Outcomes

Proposals focused on student access (e.g., college choice, financial aid), success (e.g., retention), and outcomes (e.g., equity), including policies and programs designed to promote access and achievement. *Section Chairs: Shouping Hu, Florida State University, shu@coe.fsu.edu; Juan Carlos González, University of Missouri–Kansas City, jcg@umkc.edu.*

Section 3: Organization, Management, and Leadership

Proposals dealing with organizational structures and management practices in higher education, as well as the impact of institutional, state, and national policies on the behavior of postsecondary institutions. *Section Chair: Michael Bastedo, University of Michigan, bastedo@umich.edu.*

Section 4: Faculty, Curriculum, and Teaching

Proposals dealing with the professoriate and/or alternative academic careers, the preparation of faculty and administrators through graduate education and professional development, or the assessment of policies and programs that serve faculty or prospective faculty. Also, proposals focused on postsecondary teaching and learning methodologies. *Section Chairs: Audrey Jaeger, North Carolina State University, audrey_jaeger@ncsu.edu; Pilar Mendoza, University of Florida, pilar.mendoza@ufl.edu.*

Section 5: Policy, Finance, and Economics

Proposals centered on issues of funding and/or incentives, focusing on students, faculty, or institutions. Also, proposals dealing with policy at the institutional, state, national, or international level related to postsecondary education. *Section Chairs: Bradley Curs, University of Missouri, cursb@missouri.edu; Kimberly Rogers, University at Buffalo, krrogers@buffalo.edu.*

Section 6: Society, Culture, and Change

Proposals centered on issues related to higher education's societal and cultural contexts, including gender, race, socioeconomic status, sexual orientation, disability, and organizational change in postsecondary education. *Section Chairs: Tara Parker, University of Massachusetts–Boston, tara.parker@umb.edu; Patricia Marin, University of California–Santa Barbara, pmarin@education.ucsb.edu.*

Division J encourages proposals covering a broad range of approaches, including those that employ alternative paradigms, theoretical approaches, and methods to inform the study and practice of postsecondary education. The division is especially interested in cross-national perspectives that relate to the current status and future role of postsecondary education in the United States. International and comparative studies are invited in any section.

Symposia that promote dialogue among persons of diverse interests, disciplinary affiliations, and backgrounds are also encouraged. Submitters may propose innovative presentation formats and/or joint sponsorship with other AERA divisions or SIGS by providing information about the format or sponsorship intentions in the body of the proposal. If the proposal describes or addresses “work in progress,” we encourage submitters to select the poster format for the proposal.

Criteria for assessment of proposals include (a) practical and theoretical significance; (b) innovation and originality; (c) soundness of research methods/execution and/or scholarship; (d) proposal clarity; and (e) membership appeal.

The Division J Program Committee invites suggestions for topics and speakers for invited sessions, dialogues/debates, and conversations. *Please send suggestions prior to July 20 to the Program Chair: Kristen Renn, Michigan State University, renn@msu.edu.*

All proposals must be submitted electronically to the AERA online proposal submission system at www.aera.net. All proposals for Division J will be assigned to at least two individuals for review.

Individual presentation format proposals are reviewed without author identification—“masked” or blind review. Individual proposals involve a single presentation or paper, including papers with multiple authors. **Symposium, session, or multiple presentation proposals are reviewed with authors identified—an “unmasked” review.** In making decisions on individual submissions, the program committee will emphasize building coherent sessions within the program. The committee will also focus on developing an overall program for Division J related to the major theme of the conference.

Division K: Teaching and Teacher Education

Program Co-Chairs: Thandeka K. Chapman and Cynthia Tyson

Division K encourages proposals that report on research or provide documentary accounts or conceptual analyses of classroom teaching, contexts of teaching, teacher education, professional development, or the continuing education of those who teach teachers, internationally as well as within the United States. The division encourages pre-K–12 teachers to submit proposals and also encourages proposals from researchers at community colleges, colleges, and universities and from community members. Proposals that attend to the linguistic, racial, ethnic, and/or cultural backgrounds (and their intersections) of students, teachers, or teacher educators and the role of teaching and teacher education, or that consider the implications of diverse or multicultural environments with respect to the research described, are highly encouraged.

Research is broadly construed as including, but not limited to, philosophical, historical, ecological, ethnographic, descriptive, correlation, or experimental studies and includes practitioner studies within these approaches. Submissions should fall into one of three broad categories: (a) *empirical work*, which includes—but is not limited to—systematic studies using a variety of qualitative and quantitative approaches to research; (b) *documentary accounts* of programs and practices; or (c) *conceptual analyses*, which may include philosophical, theoretical, or historical studies. Submitters should clearly identify their proposals as belonging to one of these three categories. ***Please read the entire Division K call carefully before submitting.***

The acceptance of a proposal depends on the criteria that follow, as well as on the organization and writing of the proposal, its relationship to the conference theme (“Disciplined Inquiry: Education Research in the Circle of Knowledge”), and its appeal to members of Division K. The call speaks to many current discussions in education research concerning the nature of research, questions of whose knowledge is valued or displaced, the concentric possibilities of a circle of knowledge, the possible intersectionality(ies) of inquiry, and the role(s) of the researcher and participants in education research. These points of discussion and many more affect our research with teachers and the vast field of teacher education research. Division K encourages proposals that connect to the theme in a myriad of ways that will reconstruct old and new philosophical debates, sustain legacies of good research practices, and expand our understandings of disciplined inquiry in multiple teaching contexts in the United States and the world.

Proposals dealing with *empirical work* should include (a) a clear and significant description of the problems or objectives addressed; (b) the theoretical framework or perspective; (c) connection to the literature; (d) articulated mode of inquiry; (e) selection and use of evidence to support conclusions; (f) a description of the conclusions or interpretations and how they extend understandings; and (g) contribution to the field or significance of results or findings.

Proposals for *documentary accounts* should include (a) a clear description of the issue or problem addressed, including a description of the context and background of the programs; (b) the theoretical framework or perspective; (c) connection to the literature; (d) articulated mode of inquiry; (e) types of evidence, data, and practices reported; (f) a description of the conclusions and how they extend understandings; and (g) contribution to the field.

Proposals for *conceptual analyses* should include (a) a clear statement of the issue that the analysis will address; (b) the theoretical framework or perspective; (c) connection to the literature, with reference to other relevant work; (d) articulated mode of inquiry; (e) evidence or sources of data; (f) a description of the conclusions or interpretations and how they extend understandings; and (g) contribution to the field, or significance.

All proposals—symposia and individual submissions for papers, posters, and discussion papers—are reviewed without author names or identification. College- or university-based organizers are encouraged to include P–12 educators in symposia. Division K encourages interactive and experimental symposia or individual submissions to provide a variety of perspectives and presentation formats. **Please be sure to submit your proposal to the correct section and not to the general program for Division K.**

Please submit all proposals electronically at <http://www.aera.net> to the appropriate section listed below. Proposals will be reviewed according to the criteria for each category outlined above. The specific criteria will be posted in the Division K area of the AERA website. Relevance to Division K and potential contribution to the program will also be considered in selecting sessions. Proposals that examine issues of diversity in research populations (e.g., ethnicity, language, rural or urban location, school characteristics, age, gender, disability) and inclusion of K–12 educators as researchers or presenters are encouraged. In addition to these criteria, symposia are expected to include multiple perspectives within and across topics, as evidenced by the diversity of participants, institutions, or professional roles.

Individuals who would like help in preparing proposals for Division K may obtain a list of people who have agreed to serve as mentors for the division by accessing the AERA website at <http://www.aera.net/WorkArea/linkit.aspx?LinkIdentifier=id&ItemID=76> or by contacting the appropriate section chair.

Please note that section chair e-mail addresses are provided *only for questions about fit with a particular section. Any general questions regarding the overall program should be addressed to the program chair, Thandeka K. Chapman, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, tchapman@uwm.edu.*

Section 1. Quality classroom teaching (practices, knowledge, and role of teacher education) in the content areas of math and science. *Celia Anderson, University of Memphis, croussea@memphis.edu.*

Section 2. Quality classroom teaching (practices, knowledge, and role of teacher education) in the humanities (English/language arts, social studies, history, visual and performing arts, physical education) and other content areas. *Roberta Herter, California Polytechnic State University, rherter@calpoly.edu; David Kirkland, New York University, dk64@nyu.edu.*

Section 3. Focus on the use of technology and new media in pre-K–12 classroom settings and teacher education classrooms (documentary analysis, conceptual analysis, and empirical research). *Korina Jocson, Stanford University, kjocson@stanford.edu.*

Section 4. Classroom teaching in non–subject-matter-specific concerns. Research focused on differentiated instruction, cooperative teaching, first and second language literacy instruction strategies, and inclusion practices for Exceptional Education students (documentary analysis, conceptual analysis, and empirical research). *Mariana Pacheco, University of Wisconsin–Madison, mapacheco@wisc.edu; Pat Enciso, Ohio State University, enciso.4@osu.edu.*

Section 5. Focus on the lives of teachers (including teacher thinking, reflective practice, research by teachers' dispositions, knowledge, educational perspectives, and/or moral dimensions of teaching (documentary analysis, conceptual analysis, and empirical research). *Keffrelyn Brown, University of Texas–Austin, keffrelyn@mail.utexas.edu.*

Section 6. Focus on multicultural education/social justice frameworks in use in preservice teacher education, professional development, and pre-K–12 classrooms (documentary analysis, conceptual analysis, and empirical research). *Beverly Gordon, Ohio State University, gordon.3@osu.edu; Tambra O. Jackson, University of South Carolina, jackso52@gwm.sc.edu.*

Section 7. Preservice teacher education, including coursework, field experiences, and student teaching (documentary analysis, conceptual analysis, and empirical research). *Edric Johnson, University of Wisconsin–Whitewater, johnsoec@uww.edu.*

Section 8. Teacher induction, mentoring, retention, and professional development, contexts of professional development, and impact of professional development on teacher practices and student learning; including specific approaches (e.g., inquiry, study groups, lesson study) as well as general issues and designs. *Etta Hollins, University of Southern California, ehollins@usc.edu; Tiffany Lee, University of New Mexico, tslee@unm.edu.*

Section 9. Teacher education program design and innovations (including online programs, teacher recruitment, charter school collaborations, university–school collaboratives, internships, and professional development schools). *Mary Louise Gomez, University of Wisconsin–Madison, gomez@education.wisc.edu.*

Section 10. Teaching and teacher education policy (e.g., teacher certification, alternate routes to licensure, institutional accreditation) and research (conceptualization, design, implementation). *Barbara Bales University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, bbales@uwm.edu.*

Division L: Educational Policy and Politics

Program Chair: Kieran M. Killeen

Division L invites proposals that examine research on the formation, implementation, and results of education policies and the politics that propel them.

This year's program theme on *disciplined inquiry* has particular relevance for Division L. Proposals are welcome from a variety of perspectives, including any disciplinary background (political science, economics, sociology, history, etc.), qualitative and quantitative methods, international and comparative studies, and any level (elementary, secondary, and postsecondary). We strongly encourage empirical proposals that use mixed methodologies, are products of interdisciplinary collaboration, and have innovative and rigorous research designs. Analyses of the design, implementation, and evaluation of policies are welcome in all sections. Symposium organizers are strongly encouraged to seek panelists and reactors that represent multiple disciplinary backgrounds.

Division L seeks to infuse international perspectives throughout the sessions and therefore encourages session proposals that mix domestic and international studies. In addition, the division's program committee will create sessions from accepted individual paper proposals based on the papers' educational topics rather than the geographic locations of the studies or the studies' authors.

Proposals that consider interactive formats as well as traditional paper/symposium sessions are appreciated. ***All proposals, including symposia, will be reviewed anonymously without author identification, using blind proposals or summaries.***

Proposals will be reviewed by at least two reviewers and rated according to choice of topic, conceptual framework, analytic method, validity of inferences, quality of writing/organization, and overall contribution to the field.

The Division L Program Committee reserves the right to decline to review or accept proposals that violate AERA guidelines. This includes submission guidelines regarding length, content, and timely

uploading into the All Academic system. Accepted papers must be provided in advance to discussants and be available to conference attendees.

Any general questions about the divisional program should be directed to the program chair, Kieran M. Killeen, University of Vermont, kieran.killeen@uvm.edu.

Section 1: Politics and Governance

This section seeks proposals that analyze micro- and macro-political processes, interest groups, values, media, policy elites, and cultural conflict. Proposals focusing on the tensions between political actors and organizations involved in educational decision making are encouraged. Empirical analyses of the distributional consequences and effectiveness of governance structures are particularly welcome, as are case studies of recent innovations in governance (e.g. mayoral control). *Section Chairs: Kara Finnigan, University of Rochester, kfinnigan@Warner.Rochester.edu; John Sipple, Cornell University, JWS28@cornell.edu.*

Section 2: Legal, Judicial, and Intergovernmental Issues

This section welcomes analyses of legal decisions and how they shape education policy and practice. This includes legal studies of issues such as school finance, affirmative action, special education and desegregation, and adequacy and equity litigation within a legal, political, and/or intergovernmental context. Studies that focus on the tensions between levels of government in the implementation of policies and court decisions are encouraged. *Section Chairs: Bruce Baker, University of Kansas, bbaker@ku.edu; Preston Green, Pennsylvania State University, pcg10@psu.edu.*

Section 3: Curriculum, Testing, and Instructional Practice

This section invites proposals addressing the politics and policies of curriculum, testing, and instructional practice. This includes national, state, and local curriculum standards and their assessments; policies that affect special populations; contested curriculum policies; instructional practice-based reforms; and comprehensive school reform designs. Proposals that analyze the forces that shape the implementation of such policies and the evaluation of the intended and unintended consequences are welcome. *Section Chair: Peter Youngs, University of Michigan, pyoungs@msu.edu.*

Section 4: Economic, Fiscal, and Resource Management Issues

This section includes analyses of resource levels and allocation patterns, their relationships with education outcomes, and their association with education policy. The use of economic approaches, including cost analysis, to evaluate education policies and programs is welcome. *Section Chair: Hamilton Lankford, SUNY Albany, hamp@albany.edu.*

Section 5: Accountability Policy

This section welcomes proposals related to the policy and politics of standards-based accountability at federal, state, and local levels. Analyses of the effects of such policies on student outcomes and the distribution of these outcomes for various groups are encouraged. We would welcome proposals that explicitly examine the ways that accountability policies exacerbate or ameliorate race- and/or class-based achievement gaps. *Section Chair: Dylan Conger, George Washington University, dconger@gwu.edu.*

Section 6: Teacher Policy and Politics

This section encourages proposals focused on formation, implementation, and evaluation of policies that concern teachers. This includes policy issues related to preservice training and inservice professional development programs, traditional and alternative certification and routes into teaching, compensation, working conditions, and hiring practices. Encouraged are analyses of attempts to improve teacher quality

through various policy instruments, and/or issues related to the measurement of teacher quality. *Section Chair: Jennifer King Rice, University of Maryland, jkr@umd.edu.*

Section 7: Market-Based Reforms

This section encourages proposals focused on market-based reforms such as charter schools and parental choice-based programs. We welcome analyses of the formation and implementation of specific programs, overall effects on student achievement, and the distributional consequences. We are also interested in proposals that deal with general issues pertaining to choice, such as innovation in instructional practice, governance, and resource allocation in schools of choice, and the role of parental information. *Section Chair: Eric Brunner, Quinnipiac University, Eric.Brunner@quinnipiac.edu.*

Section 8: International Policy and Politics

This section invites proposals dealing with educational policy and politics at the international level, including developmental and comparative analyses and case studies from other countries. We particularly encourage empirical proposals that focus on the implications and effects of globalization on workforce and education policies. *Section Chair: David Figlio, University of Florida, david.figlio@cba.ufl.edu.*

B. Committees

Proposals will be reviewed and considered for the following AERA committees. Individuals are encouraged to contact committee chairs for information on program topics.

International Relations Committee

The International Relations Committee invites proposals from international researchers for paper discussions, posters, symposia, papers, and other formats that address international educational issues. *Chair: Carol Camp Yeakey, Washington University in St. Louis, cyeakey@artsci.wustl.edu.*

Professional Development and Training Committee

See separate call details on page **XXX**.

Committee on Scholars and Advocates for Gender Equity in Education (SAGE)

The theme for the 2009 Annual Meeting, “Disciplined Inquiry: Education Research in the Circle of Knowledge,” underscores the role of education in refining and expanding disciplinary inquiry and calls upon education researchers to consider new ways that education research and disciplinary inquiry might be more effectively integrated. In keeping with the theme, the Committee on Scholars and Advocates for Gender Equity (SAGE) invites proposals for symposia, individual papers, and innovative presentation formats addressing contemporary concerns of gender, gender equity and sexuality in both K–12 and postsecondary education settings inclusive of school and nonschool settings. We welcome research from a diversity of topics related to gender, gender equity, and sexuality that include feminist, advocacy, and equity research incorporating a range of epistemological, theoretical, methodological, and policy perspectives. We encourage proposals for papers and sessions that demonstrate the value of interdisciplinary research, the significance of multiple methodological perspectives, and interactions between education and its sister disciplines in the sciences, arts, and humanities. *Chair: Zenaida Aguirre-Munoz, Texas Tech University, z.aguirre@ttu.edu.*

Committee on Scholars of Color in Education

The Committee on Scholars of Color in Education invites paper proposals, or a single symposium, on any topic related to education research on students and educators of color. Collaborative proposals that

involve senior scholars and junior scholars of color will be given priority consideration. *Chair: Stephen D. Hancock, University of North Carolina–Charlotte, sdhancoc@email.uncc.edu.*

C. Special Interest Groups

Proposals will be reviewed and considered for the AERA SIGs listed below. Individuals are encouraged to contact **SIG Program Chairs** listed below for information on program topics. SIGs not listed here did not respond in time to be included in this call for proposals. Additional SIG information is available in the complete SIG directory accessible from <http://www.aera.net>, including a brief description of each of the more than 150 SIGs, the SIG chair contact information, and, if available, a link to the SIG's web site.

Academic Audit Research in Teacher Education, Todd Kent, Princeton University, 609-258-3336, twkent@Princeton.edu

Action Research, Michael Brody, Montana State University, 406-994-5951, brody@montana.edu; Art Bangert, Montana State University, 406-994-7424, abangert@montana.edu

Adolescence and Youth Development (formerly “Adolescence”), Pei-Hsuan “Peggy” Hsieh, University of Texas–San Antonio, 210-458-2420, peggy.hsieh@utsa.edu

Adult Literacy and Adult Education, Lynda Ginsburg, Rutgers University, 732-445-1409, ginsburg@rci.rutgers.edu; Jane Shore, Educational Testing Service, 610-323-5000, jshore@ets.org; Adjua McNeill, Temple University/Educational Testing Service, 610-323-5000, adjuamcneil@yahoo.com

Adulthood and Aging, Linda Speranza, Valencia Community College, 407-782-8220, drsperanza@aol.com; Lea Witta, University of Central Florida, 407-823-3220, lwitta@mail.ucf.edu

Advanced Studies of National Databases, Debbie Hahs-Vaughn, University of Central Florida, 407-823-1762, dhahs@mail.ucf.edu

Advanced Technologies for Learning, Chrystalla Mouza, University of Delaware, 302-831-3108, cmouza@udel.edu

Applied Research in Virtual Environments for Learning, Lisa Dawley, Boise State University, 208-426-5430, lisadawley@boisestate.edu

Arts and Inquiry in the Visual and Performing Arts in Education, Mary Beth Cancienne, James Madison University, 434-825-5758, cancieme@jmu.edu; Celeste Snowber, Simon Fraser University, 778-782-4453, celeste@sfu.edu

Arts and Learning, Zach Kelehear, University of South Carolina, 803-777-0323, dzk@sc.edu; Robin Mello, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, 414-229-6066, mello@uwm.edu

Arts-Based Educational Research, Anniina Suominen, Kent State University, 330-672-1376, asuomine@kent.edu

Associates for Research on Private Education, James Swezey, Regent University, 757-226-4220, jswezey@regent.edu

Bilingual Education Research, Christian Faltis, Arizona State University, 480-965-4590, cfaltis@asu.edu

Biographical and Documentary Research, Brian Schultz, Northeastern Illinois University, 773-442-5327, bschultz@neiu.edu

Brain, Neurosciences, and Education, Jeffrey Gilger, Purdue University, 765-494-6542, jgilger@purdue.edu

Business Education and Information Systems Research, Ken Martin, University of Cincinnati, 513-556-3592, ken.martin@uc.edu

Career and Technical Education, Cecilia Maldonado-Daniels, University of Nevada–Las Vegas, 702-895-3410, cecilia.maldonado@unlv.edu; Henry O’Lawrence, California State University–Long Beach, 562-985-8103, holawren@csulb.edu

Career Development, Kimberly Howard, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 602-262-6889, khoward@education.wisc.edu; Rachel Gali Cinamon, Tel Aviv University, 09-8911539, cinamon@post.tau.ac.il

Chaos and Complexity Theories, Sarah Pratt, University of North Carolina–Greensboro, 336-334-3437, sspratt@uncg.edu

Charter School Research and Evaluation, Charisse Gulosino, Brown University, 401-863-2201, Charisse_Gulosino@brown.edu; David Garcia, Arizona State University, 480-727-7413, d.garcia@asu.edu

Classroom Assessment, Jeff Beaudry, University of Southern Maine, 207-780-5493, jbeaudry@usm.maine.edu; Bethany Brunsmann, Lincoln Public Schools, 402-436-1820, bbrunsm@lps.org

Classroom Management, Joan Walker, Long Island University, 516-299-3430, joan.walker@liu.edu

Classroom Observation, Terri Kurz, University of Texas–Austin, 512-232-5579, tkurz@mail.utexas.edu

Cognition and Assessment, Joanna Gorin, Arizona State University, 480-965-1142, joanna.gorin@asu.edu

Communication of Research, Tirupalavanam Ganesh, Arizona State University, 480-727-9375, tganesh@asu.edu; Katherine Corby, Michigan State University, 517-432-6123 x121, corby@msu.edu

Comprehensive School Reform, Marlene Darwin, American Institutes for Research, 202-403-5395, mdarwin@air.org; Robin LaSota, Educational R&D Consulting, 630-980-1860, educationalrd@yahoo.com

Computer and Internet Applications in Education, Aaron Doering, University of Minnesota, 612-625-1073, adoering@umn.edu

Conflict Resolution and Violence Prevention, Cary Roseth, Michigan State University, 517-432-0454, croseth@msu.edu

Confluent Education, Joel Brown, San Diego State University, 619-594-6403, jhb@cerd.org; Alan Daly, University of California–San Diego, 858-822-6472, ajdaly@ucsd.edu

Confucianism, Taoism and Education, Hongyu Wang, Oklahoma State University, 405-744-4675, hongyu.wang@okstate.edu

Constructivist Theory, Research and Practice, Robert “Bob” Stahl, Arizona State University, 480-965-7101, rjstahl@asu.edu

Cooperative Learning: Theory, David W. Johnson, University of Minnesota, 952-831-7060, johns010@umn.edu

Critical Educators for Social Justice, Patricia McHatton, University of Southern Florida, 813-494-5384, mchatton@tempest.coedu.usf.edu; Christine Clark, University of Nevada–Las Vegas, 702-896-1527, criseclark@mac.com

Critical Examination of Race, Ethnicity, Class, and Gender in Education, Theodorea Berry, American College of Education, Theodorea.Berry@ace.edu

Critical Issues in Curriculum and Cultural Studies, Peter Appelbaum, Arcadia University, 215-572-4476, appelbaum@arcadia.edu

Critical Perspectives on Early Childhood Education, Annapurna Ganesh, Mesa Community College, 480-461-7305, aganesh@mail.mc.maricopa.edu; Sheehan McHugh, University of New Orleans, 504-280-5556, pmchugh@uno.edu

Cultural-Historical Research, Lois Holzman, East Side Institute for Group and Short Term Therapy, 212-941-8906, lholzman@eastsideinstitute.org; Kevin O’Connor, University of Rochester, 585-273-3430, kevin.oconnor@rochester.edu

Democratic Citizenship in Education, James Mitchell, California State University–East Bay, 510-693-3506, Mitchellcsueb@aol.com

Design and Technology, Patricia Hardre, University of Oklahoma, 405-325-8152, hardre@ou.edu

Disability Studies in Education, Nancy Rice, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, 414-229-3920, nerice@uwm.edu; Christine Ashby, Syracuse University, 315-382-6989, ceashby@syr.edu; Nancy Rice, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, 414-229-3920, nerice@uwm.edu

Districts in Research and Reform, Ellen Foley, Brown University, 401-863-7712, ellen_foley@brown.edu; Kara Finnigan, University of Rochester, 585-424-0534, kfinnigan@warner.rochester.edu

Doctoral Education Across the Disciplines, Susan Gardner, University of Maine, 207-581-3122, susan.gardner@umit.maine.edu; Benita Barnes, University of Massachusetts–Amherst, 413-545-1083, barnesbj@educ.umass.edu

Early Education and Child Development, Nancy File, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, 414-229-4197, nfile@uwm.edu

Ecological and Environmental Education, Constance Russell, Lakehead University, 807-343-8049, crussell@lakeheadu.ca

Education and Philanthropy, Ida Oberman, Oakland Unified School District, 510-517-0331, idaoberman@alamedanet.net; Jorge Ruiz de Velasco, University of California–Berkeley, 510-642-3466, jvelasco@law.berkeley.edu; Candace Bell, William Penn Foundation, 215-988-1830, cbell@williampennfoundation.org

Education and Student Development in the Cities, Jeremy Garcia, Purdue University, 765-496-3024, jtgarcia@purdue.edu

Education and the World Wide Web, David Edyburn, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, 414-229-4821, edyburn@uwm.edu; M. D. Roblyer, University of Tennessee–Chattanooga, 423-425-5567, margaret-roblyer@utc.edu

Education, Health and Human Services, Jeffrey Anderson, Indiana University, 812-856-8155, jander2@indiana.edu; Richard Volpe, University of Toronto, 416-934-4511, richard.volpe@utoronto.ca; Twyla Salm, University of Regina, 306-585-4604, twyla.salm@uregina.ca

Educational Change, Michele Schmidt, Simon Fraser University, 778-782-4126, mschmidt@sfu.ca

Educational Statisticians, Carolyn Furlow, Georgia State University, 404-413-8283, cfurlow@gsu.edu

Faculty Teaching, Evaluation and Development, Elaine Laflamme, McGill University, 403-220-2164, elaine.laflamme@mail.mcgill.ca

Family, School, Community Partnerships, Tammy Turner-Vorbeck, Wabash College, 765-351-6359, tatvorbecvk@aol.com

Fiscal Issues, Policy and Education Finance, Rob Knoeppel, University of Kentucky, 859-257-7845, Robert.Knoeppel@uky.edu

Foucault and Education, Christine Clark, 702-896-1527, chriseclark@mac.com; Linda J. Graham, University of Sydney, 61-2-9036-6130, l.graham@edfac.usyd.edu.au

Grassroots Community and Youth Organizing for Education Reform, Michelle Renee, University of California–Los Angeles, 310-429-4838, mrenee@ucla.edu

Hierarchical Linear Modeling, Finbarr Sloane, Arizona State University, 480-965-6703, finbarr.sloane@asu.edu

Hispanic Research Issues, Alejandro Gallard, Florida State University, 850-644-7813, agallard@fsu.edu; Gilda Bloom-Leiva, San Francisco State University, 415-338-7060, gmbloom@sfsu.edu

Holistic Education (formerly “Wholistic Education”), Rodney Clarken, Northern Michigan University, 906-226-2079, rclarcken@nmu.edu; Michelle Tichy, St. Norbert College, 920-403-2049, myhsthe@gmail.com; Ann Riley, University of Oklahoma, 405-364-8888, annriley@ou.edu

Home Economics Research, Dana Bush, Eastern Kentucky University, 859-622-1386, dana.bush@eku.edu; Jacquelyn Jensen, Eastern Kentucky University, 859-622-2392, jackie.jensen@eku.edu

Inclusion and Accommodation in Large-Scale Assessment, Gerald Tindal, University of Oregon, 541-346-1640, gerald@uoregon.edu

Indigenous Peoples of the Americas, Nicole Thompson, Mississippi State University, 662-325-4867, nt65@colled.msstate.edu; Tiffany Lee, University of New Mexico, 505-277-1820, tslee@unm.edu

Indigenous Peoples of the Pacific, Laiana Wong, University of Hawaii–Manoa, 808-956-6419, kwong@hawaii.edu; Pohai Kukea Shultz, University of Hawaii–Manoa, 808-956-2526, pohai@hawaii.edu

Informal Learning Environments Research, Doris Ash, University of California–Santa Cruz, 831-459-5549, dash5@ucsc.edu; Amy Cox-Petersen, California State University–Fullerton, 714-278-2281, acox@fullerton.edu

Instructional Technology, Brendan Calandra, Georgia State University, 404-413-8420, bcalandra@gsu.edu; Jennifer Richardson, Purdue University, 765-494-5669, jennrich@purdue.edu

International Studies, David Miller, American Institutes for Research, 202-403-6533, dmiller@air.org

Invitational Education, Jenny Edwards, Fielding Graduate University, 303-674-0645, jedwards@fielding.edu

Ivan Illich, Dana L. Stuchul, Penn State University, 814-360-1165, dls268@psu.edu; Madhu Suri Prakash, Pennsylvania State University, 814-863-3769, msp1@psu.edu; Miryam Espinosa-Dulanto, Pennsylvania State University, 814-863-8921, mespinosa@psu.edu

John Dewey Society, Lynda Stone, University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill, 919-966-3291, Lstone@email.unc.edu

Language and Social Processes, Vivian Vasquez, American University, 202-885-3719, vvasque@american.edu

Large Scale Assessment, Marianne Perie, Center for Assessment, 202-409-3358, mperie@nciea.org; Liz Burton, Measured Progress, 603-749-9102, eburton@measuredprogress.org

Law and Education, Karen Miksch, University of Minnesota, 612-625-3398, miksc001@umn.edu

Leadership for School Improvement, William Frick, University of Oklahoma, 405-325-2447, frick@ou.edu

Leadership for Social Justice, Gaetane Jean-Marie, University of Oklahoma, 918-660-3889, gjeanmarie@ou.edu

Learning and Teaching in Educational Leadership (formerly “Teaching in Educational Administration”), Edith Rusch, University of Nevada–Las Vegas, 702-895-2891, edith.rusch@unlv.edu; William Black, University of South Florida, 813-974-6097, wblack@coedu.usf.edu

Learning Environments, Celia Johnson, Bradley University, 309-677-3187, cej@bradley.edu

Learning Sciences (formerly “Education, Science and Technology”), Tobin White, University of California–Davis, 530-752-9348, twhite@ucdavis.edu

Literature, Lane Clarke, Northern Kentucky University, 859-572-5335, clarkell@nku.edu; Karen Spector, University of Alabama, 205-348-0303, kspector@bamaed.ua.edu

Lives of Teachers, Ruth Ban, Barry University, 786-281-9292, rban@mail.barry.edu

Longitudinal Studies, Leslie Scott, American Institutes for Research, 202-403-5412, lscott@air.org

Marxian Analysis of Schools, Society and Education, Dave Hill, University of Northampton, +44 (0)1273 27094, dave.hill@northampton.ac.uk

Measurement Services, James Wollack, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 608-262-5863, jwollack@wisc.edu

Media, Culture and Curriculum, Barbara Signer, St. John’s University, 718-990-1466, signerb@stjohns.edu; Mark van Hooft, Kent State University, 330-672-5996, mvanthoo@kent.edu

Mentorship and Mentoring Practices, Ruben Garza, Texas State University–San Marcos, 512-245-8492, RubenGarza@txstate.edu

Middle Level Education Research, Sue Thompson, University of Missouri–Kansas City, 816-235-2445, scthompson@sprintmail.com; Micki Caskey, Portland State University, 503-725-4749, caskeym@pdx.edu

Mixed Methods Research, Kathleen Collins, University of Arkansas, 479 575-4218, kathycollinsknob@aol.com

Moral Development and Education, Pitt Derryberry, Western Kentucky University, 270-745-5250, pitt.derryberry@wku.edu

Motivation in Education, Michael Middleton, University of New Hampshire, 603-862-7054, michael.middleton@unh.edu

Multicultural/Multiethnic Education: Research, Carlos Nevarez, California State University–Sacramento, 916-278-5557, nevarezc@csus.edu

Multiple Intelligences: Theory and Practice, Ken Martin, University of Cincinnati, 513-556-3592, ken.martin@uc.edu

Multiple Linear Regression: The General Linear Model, David Walker, Northern Illinois University, 815-753-9362, p60daw1@wpo.cso.niu.edu; Kim Nimon, Southern Methodist University, 972-250-3901, kim.nimon@gmail.com

Music Education, Colleen Conway, University of Michigan–Ann Arbor, 734-615-4105, conwaycm@umich.edu

Narrative and Research, Anne Murray-Orr, St. Francis Xavier University, 902-867-5453, aorr@stfx.ca

Organizational Theory, John Weathers, University of Colorado–Colorado Springs, 330-972-8177, john.weathers@uccs.edu

Out-of-School Time, David Fleming, Clemson University, 864-656-1881, dflemin@exchange.clemson.edu

Paulo Freire, Suzanne Soohoo, Chapman University, 714-532-6024, soohoo@chapman.edu; Vivian Lopez, New Mexico State University, 505-635-6897, vivilope@nmsu.edu

Peace Education, Claire McGlynn, Queen’s University–Belfast, +44 (2)8909 75960, c.mcglynn@qub.ac.uk

Philosophical Studies in Education, Doris Santoro, Bowdoin College, 207-798-4309, dsantoro@bowdoin.edu

Politics of Education, Michael McLendon, Vanderbilt University, 615-322-2355, michael.k.mclendon@vanderbilt.edu

Portfolios and Reflection in Teaching and Teacher Education, Susan Wray, Montclair State University, 973-655-6902, wrays@mail.montclair.edu

Postcolonial Studies and Education, Sharon Subreenduth, Bowling Green State University, 419-372-7510, ssubree@bgsu.edu

Problem-Based Learning, Krista Glazewski, New Mexico State University, 505-646-4377, kglaze@ad.nmsu.edu

Professional Development School Research, Sharon Castle, George Mason University, 202-210-3338, scastle@gmu.edu

Professional Licensure and Certification, Louis Grosso, American Board of Internal Medicine, 215-446-3538, lgrosso@abim.org; Rebecca Baranowski, American Board of Internal Medicine, 215-446-3546, rbaranowski@abim.org

Professors of Educational Research, R. Burke Johnson, University of South Alabama, 251-380-2861, bjohnson@usouthal.edu

Qualitative Research, Deborah Ceglowski, Ball State University, 704-968-1753, daceglow@uncc.edu; Mirka Koro-Ljungberg, University of Florida, 352-392-0723, ljungberg@coe.ufl.edu; Lisa Mazzei, Manchester Metropolitan University, +44 01618600246, l.mazzei@mmu.ac.uk

Queer Studies, Lance T. McCready, OISE/University of Toronto, 416-978-0138, lmccready@oise.utoronto.ca; Judy Alston, Ashland University, 832-724-5167, jalston@ashland.edu

Rasch Measurement, Dimiter Dimitrov, George Mason University, 703-993-3842, ddimitro@gmu.edu; Diana Bernbaum, University of California–Berkeley, 650-274-5982, djbernbaum@yahoo.com

Religion and Education, James Hartwick, University of Wisconsin–Whitewater, 262-472-9506, hartwicj@uww.edu; Charles Russo, University of Dayton, 937-229-3722, Charles.Russo@notes.udayton.edu

Research Focus on Black Education, Jeannine Dingus, St. John Fischer College, 585-385-8002, jdingus@sjfc.edu; Monika W. Shealey, Florida International University, 305-348-6857, shealeym@fiu.edu

Research Focus on Education and Sport, Eddie Comeaux, University of California–Los Angeles, 310-825-4321, ecomeaux@ucla.edu; C. Keith Harrison, Central Florida University, 407-823-1158, kharrison@bus.ucf.edu

Research Focus on Education in the Caribbean and Africa, Janice Fournillier, Georgia State University, 404-413-8262, journillier@gmail.com; Joan Oviawe, Washington State University, 509-335-6000, joanoviawe@yahoo.com

Research in Global Child Advocacy, Ilene Berson, University of South Florida, 813-974-7698, iberson@coedu.usf.edu

Research in Mathematics Education, Patricia Campbell, University of Maryland, 301-405-3129, patc@umd.edu

Research in Reading and Literacy, Wayne H. Slater, University of Maryland, 301-405-3128, wslater@umd.edu; Rosalind Horowitz, University of Texas–San Antonio, 210-458-5418, rhorowitz@utsa.edu

Research in Social Studies Education, Nancy Gallavan, University of Central Arkansas, 501-852-2822, ngallavan@uca.edu

Research on Evaluation, Tamara Bertrand-Jones, Florida State University, 850-644-1331, tbertrand@admin.fsu.edu

Research on Giftedness and Talent, Dona Matthews, Consultant/Author, 416-364-5898, donamaatthews@gmail.com; Betsy McCoach, University of Connecticut, 860-486-0183, betsy.mccoach@uconn.edu

Research on Learning and Instruction in Physical Education, Nate McCaughtry, Wayne State University, 313-577-0014, aj4391@wayne.edu

Research on Teacher Induction, Dan Katz, Seton Hall University, 973-275-2724, katzdan@shu.edu; Bob Rogan, North Georgia College and State University, 706-864-1998, skymad@bellsouth.net

Research on the Education of Asian and Pacific Americans, Lusa Lo, University of Massachusetts–Boston, 617-287-6234, lusa.lo@umb.edu

Research on the Education of Deaf Persons, Beverly Trezek, De Paul University, 312-217-3133, btrezek@depaul.edu

Research on the Superintendency, Meredith Mountford, Florida Atlantic University, 954-236-1049, mmountfo@fau.edu; Bonnie Fusarelli, North Carolina State University, 919-515-6359, bonnie_fusarelli@ncsu.edu

Research on Women in Education, Genevieve Brown, Sam Houston State University, 936-294-1101, edu_gxb@shsu.edu

Research Use, Luke Duesbery, San Diego State University, 619-594-8964, duesbery@mail.sdsu.edu

Research Using NAEP Data, Cindy Ziker, Education Consultant, 480-694-6753, cindyziker@yahoo.com; Stephen Denig, Niagra University, 716-286-8119, sdenig@niagra.edu; Jihyun Lee, Educational Testing Service, 609-734-1461, jlee@ets.org

Research, Education, Information, and School Libraries, Kafi Kumasi-Johnson, Indiana University, 812-855-2018, kkumasij@indiana.edu

Rural Education, Patricia Hardre, University of Oklahoma, 405-325-8152, hardre@ou.edu; Kai Schafft, Pennsylvania State University, 814-863-2031, kas45@psu.edu

Safe Schools and Communities, Brenda Morrison, Simon Fraser University, 778-782-7627, brendam@sfu.ca; Roxana Marachi, San Jose State University, 408-924-3729, roxana.marachi@gmail.com; Dennis White, George Washington University, 703-960-4692, acme-ops@vzavenue.net

School Choice, Carolyn Ridenour, University of Dayton, 937-229-3308, carolyn.ridenour@notes.udayton.edu

School Community, Climate and Culture, Billie Gastic, Temple University, 215-204-8072, bgastic@temple.edu

School Effectiveness, Leonidas Kyriakides, University of Cyprus, +357 22 752913, kyriakid@ucy.ac.cy

School Indicators and Profiles, Arie van der Ploeg, Learning Point Associates, 612-649-6623, arie.vanderploeg@learningpt.org

School-University Collaborative Research, Maya Miskovic, National-Louis University, 630-874-4274, Maja.Miskovic@nl.edu; Wendy Gardiner, National-Louis University, 312-261-3112, Wendy.Gardiner@nl.edu

Science Teaching and Learning, Sherri Brown, University of Louisville, 502-852-0599, s.brown@louisville.edu; Sandy Buczynski, University of San Diego, sandyb@sandiego.edu

Second Language Research, Theresa Austin, University of Massachusetts–Amherst, 413-545-0138, taustin@educ.umass.edu

Self-Study of Teacher Education Practices, Hafdis Gudjonsdottir, Iceland University of Education, 011-354-563-3893, hafdgud@khi.is

Semiotics in Education, John Henning, University of Northern Iowa, 319-273-7488, John.Henning@uni.edu

Service Learning and Experiential Education, Amy E. White, Wingate University, 704-233-8076, awhite@wingate.edu

Social and Emotional Learning, Patricia Jennings, Garrison Institute, 415-992-1948, tish@garrisoninstitute.org; Susan Stillman, Northcentral University, 928-541-7777, sbstillman@gmail.com

Society of Professors of Education, William Wraga, University of Georgia, 706-542-4166, wraga@uga.edu

Sociology of Education, Pamela Theroux, University at Albany, SUNY, 518-442-5961, ptheroux@albany.edu

Special Education Research, Delinda Van Garderen, University of Missouri–Columbia, 573-884-7075, vangarderend@missouri.edu

Spirituality and Education, Robert London, California State University–San Bernadino, 909-537-5678, rlondon@csusb.edu; Chris Elliott, University of Virginia, 757-293-8572, clwe@virginia.edu

State and Regional Educational Research Associations, Charles Achilles, Seton Hall University, 315-789-2399, plato936@rochester.rr.com; Candace Lacey, Nova Southeastern University, 954-924-5330, lacey@nova.edu; Harry Bowman, Council on Occupational Education, 352-243-7212, bowmanh@comcast.net

Stress and Coping in Education, Julie Combs, Sam Houston State University, 936-294-3181, jcombs@shsu.edu; Rebecca Robles-Pina, Sam Houston State University, 936-294-1118; Russell Carson, Louisiana State University, 225-578-2923, rlcason@lsu.edu

Structural Equation Modeling, Roy Levy, Arizona State University, 480-727-9808, Roy.Levy@asu.edu; Stephen Sivo, University of Central Florida, 407-823-4147, ssivo@mail.ucf.edu

Studying and Self-Regulated Learning, Hefer Bembentuy, City University of New York–Queens College, 718-997-5158, bembentuyseys@yahoo.com; Linda Bol, Old Dominion University, 757-683-5340, lbol@odu.edu

Supervision and Instructional Leadership (formerly Instructional Supervision), Henry St. Maurice, University of Wisconsin–Stevens Point, 715-346-2449, hstmauri@uwsp.edu

Survey Research in Education, Kelly Bradley, University of Kentucky, 859-257-4923, kdbrad2@uky.edu

Systems Thinking in Education

Talent Development for Students Placed at Risk, Deirdre Thompson, Howard University, 202-806-8491, deet@capstoneinstitutue.org

Teacher as Researcher, Ryan Flessner, Indiana University, 608-287-4089, flessner@wisc.edu; Sara Parrell, Madison Metropolitan School District, 608-238-3420, saraparrell@tds.net

Teachers' Work/Teacher Unions, Cindy Rottmann, OISE/University of Toronto, 416-785-7152, crottmann@oise.utoronto.ca

Teaching Educational Psychology, David Holliway, Washington State University–Tri-Cities, 509-372-7242, dholliway@tricity.wsu.edu

Teaching History, Brenda Trofanenko, University of Illinois–Urbana, 217-265-0756, brenda@uiuc.edu; Benjamin Jacobs, University of Minnesota, 612-625-7818, bjacobs@umn.edu

Technology as an Agent of Change in Teaching and Learning, Natalie Milman, George Washington University, 202-994-1884, nmilman@gwu.edu

Technology, Instruction and Cognition and Learning, Joseph Scandura, MERGE Research Institute, 610-664-1207, scandura@scandura.com; Sara McNeil, University of Houston, 713-743-4975, smcneil@mail.coe.uh.edu

Test Validity Research and Evaluation, Timothy Sares, University of Iowa, 240-715-5509, tsares@aol.com

Tracking and Detracking, Megan Staples, University of Connecticut, 860-486-2097, megan.staples@uconn.edu; Donna Harris, University of Rochester, 585-276-3186, dharris@warner.rochester.edu

Urban Learning, Teaching, and Research, Angela Louque, Azusa Pacific University, 626-815-5350, alouque@apu.edu

Vocabulary, Caroline Phythian-Sence, National Literacy Trust/UK, 011 44 20 7820 6273, caroline.sence@literacytrust.org.uk; Shira Lubliner, California State University–East Bay, 510-885-4484, shiralub@aol.com

Workplace Learning, John Dirx, Michigan State University, 517-353-8927, dirx@msu.edu; David Guile, University of London, +44 20 7612 6849, D.Guile@ioe.ac.uk

Writing and Literacies, Lalitha Vasudevan, Columbia University, 212-678-6660, vasudevan@exchange.tc.columbia.edu