**Guidelines for Reviewers**

Please format your comments as if you were writing to the author. In your comments, please consider the questions below.

I. Does this manuscript address issues of importance in education and fall within the journal’s scope? Please explain.

II. View the AERA [Standards for Reporting on Empirical Social Science Research](https://www.aera.net/files/PDF/Standards/Reporting-Empirical-Social-Science-Research.pdf) and the AERA [Standards for Reporting on Humanities-Oriented Research](https://www.aera.net/files/PDF/Standards/Reporting-Humanities-Oriented-Research.pdf). Does the theoretical framework guide the focus of the study or argument? The methodology? The research questions? The literature review? The method of collecting and analyzing data? In drawing conclusions about what the data say? Please explain.

III. Is the author’s perspective in researching the problem made explicit? If not, how could it be?

IV. How would you rate the quality of the research design in relationship to the AERA standards? Excellent, average, poor, or in-between? Please explain.

V. How would you rate the quality of the research analysis in relationship to the AERA standards? Excellent, average, poor, or in-between? Please explain.

VI. Assess the quality of writing (organization, clarity, style). Excellent, average, poor, or in-between? Please explain.

VII. Are the arguments/study believable? If not, what could be done to make the manuscript more trustworthy? Are there alternative interpretations that should be discussed? Is there a possibility for misinterpretation of the research results that might derail progress toward social justice in education? Please explain.

VIII. Are there other resources the authors could use to strengthen their arguments?

IX. Even if the topic of the manuscript is perfectly studied and argued, does it answer the question “so what”? Will it advance the knowledge of the field, raise timely questions, and hold archival/impact value? Will it make a difference for social justice in education?

X. Has the author reflected on foreseeable repercussions of this research on the population being studied? Please explain.

XI. Include other comments as applicable.

**SUMMARY:** In your view, is the manuscript suitable for publication? Please choose from the following categories.

**ACCEPT:** Publish the manuscript as submitted.
CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE: Accept under the condition that minor revisions will be made before publication.

REJECT WITH ENCOURAGEMENT TO REVISE AND RESUBMIT: Encourage a resubmission after major revisions as indicated in your review, with no guarantee of publication.

REJECT: Reject the manuscript for reasons indicated in your comments. If you believe the manuscript is not suitable for this journal, consider recommending other journals for which it might be a better fit.